Contribution ID: 788981a5-1aaf-4ea9-8af6-1697c08f4142

Date: 19/09/2022 17:35:44

New EU Global Health Strategy - Public Consultation

Introduction

There have been major changes since the 2010 EU global health strategy, and so a review is now necessary.

In the past decade, we have understood, more than ever, **the many related factors affecting health** and the need to address them in a comprehensive, joined-up way. This would also enable the EU to better tackle health inequalities and fend off global threats, all based on its commitments to human rights and health equity.

Climate change and the destruction of natural habitats have increased the risk of animal viruses, which can cause pandemics. This brings the close links between the environment, animal and plant health, and human health sharply into focus (the 'One Health' approach).

There is also a better understanding of the complexity of gender's effect on health and of the link between lifestyle and nutrition; or between non-communicable diseases and mental health.

The **COVID-19 pandemic** has underlined the importance of effectively preparing for and reacting to emergencies. At the same time, it has slowed down or even reversed progress in meeting the international community's health goals set out in 2015 (the UN's Sustainable Development Goals). Most of all, it has been a call to reflect on how other EU policies, described below, can help fight global health threats.

- Health systems must be strengthened to withstand threats, and existing gaps in international cooperation must be closed to ensure the right to healthcare for everyone.
- A new approach to pharmaceuticals and technologies is necessary to ensure access for everyone to safe, high-quality, affordable and effective treatments, while boosting innovation to deal with neglected needs and diseases.
- **Digitalisation** is a powerful tool with huge potential to facilitate access to health and deal with global health needs. **Research** is an essential enabler as more powerful technologies become available.
- Social protection, education and skills are essential to make universal health coverage a reality and curb inequalities so that everyone has access to health services.

Finally, the global landscape has changed in the following two ways.

- 1. In a more geopolitical world, health has inevitably acquired a geopolitical dimension, linking with other policies such as trade or security. This confirms the need for a 'health in all policies' approach.
- 2. Many private and public players and initiatives have emerged, helping to tackle threats but making it more challenging to achieve an effective and coordinated approach.

As we advance our European Health Union, our international approach has been adapting to the fundamental changes outlined above. It is necessary now to set out a strategy that builds on the experience from the last decade and provides guidelines for the coming years in a complex environment. This will ensure, beyond pandemics, that the EU and its Member States can effectively improve the health of citizens, reduce health inequalities, protect against threats, and consolidate EU global leadership in health.

The new strategy should be considered together with the parallel communication on pandemic preparedness and response, which is also in preparation.

As confirmed by Eurobarometer surveys, **health is one of the topics for which EU citizens** see the greatest legitimacy for EU global action. This strategy should therefore reflect the views and concerns of the public and organisations concerned.

To provide guidelines for an updated global health strategy, this open consultation asks what policies and measures should the EU focus on and how this should be done. Each section starts with a summary of the current state of reflection in the Commission (which are not necessarily Commission positions). Respondents can then comment on these reflections and complement them.

About you

*Lang	uage of my contribution
	Bulgarian
	Croatian
	Czech
0	Danish
0	Dutch
•	English
0	Estonian
	Finnish
	French
	German
	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Irish
0	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
0	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian

Slovak
Slovenian
Spanish
Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
If you selected 'Other' in the previous question, please specify below
Professional health organisation
Health professional
Civil society organisation
International organisation
Governmental/multilateral organisation
* First name
Panagiotis
*Surname
Chaslaridis
*Email (this won't be published)
panagiotis.chaslaridis@efanet.org
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum

*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 en	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49)	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	249 employees)		
Large (250 or m	nore)		
Organisation budget	(please specify wha	t percentage is of pu	ublic funds if relevant)
Transparency registe	r number		
255 character(s) maximum			
Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-making		er. It's a voluntary database	for organisations seeking to
28473847513-94	9.		
20473047313-94			
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of o	origin, or that of your organi	sation.	
of the entities mentioned. It	•	,	ard to the legal status or policy
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samo	oa [©] Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Gui	nea [©] Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles

Barbuda

European Federation of Allergy and Airways Diseases Patients' Associations (EFA)

Argentina Armenia Aruba Australia Austria	EthiopiaFalkland IslandsFaroe IslandsFijiFinland	MaltaMarshall IslandsMartiniqueMauritaniaMauritius	Sierra LeoneSingaporeSint MaartenSlovakiaSlovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
BahamasBahrain	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
	French Polynesia French Southern		South Coordin
Bangladesh	and Antarctic Lands	Ivioladva	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	0	Niue	Togo

Heard Island and McDonald Islands

			Wobonala lolana	,			
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada		India		Norway	0	Turkey
0	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African		Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
0	China		Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
0	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
0	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines	0	United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
0	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
0	Comoros		Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
0	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
							Futuna
0	Curaçao	0	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	0	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
	Czechia		Lebanon				Zambia

		Saint Helena
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

- *My organisation is from/I work in (if different from country of origin)
 - EU member state
 - Europe region (non EU)
 - Middle East
 - Asia
 - Oceania
 - Northern Africa
 - Sub-Saharan Africa
 - North America
 - Latin America and the Caribbean

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of

respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Part 1. Stakeholder input

The EU strategy should provide more structural and effective channels of dialogue with the public and stakeholders to ensure their views are fully considered and help adapt to a fast-changing environment. The annual Global Health Policy Forum (held on 21 June 2022 as part of the European Development Days) is an opportunity to collect input for this consultation and follow up the strategy's implementation after it has been adopted.

1. What are your ideas to improve stakeholder input and their channels to follow up the strategy's implementation?

1	000 character(s) maximum

Part 2. Defining priorities

Considering the diverse issues outlined above, the EU global health strategy must identify a manageable number of key priorities. The priorities described below are interconnected at times, reflecting the complex nature of the issues addressed. However, each priority deserves individual attention. Beyond the importance of ensuring proper pandemic prevention, preparedness and emergency response, four priority areas appear key to structure our strategy.

- Effective and resilient health systems are essential to tackle health inequalities, being prepared for health threats and responding to them effectively. This requires investment in the health workforce, community health systems, inclusive and quality services, and public health capacities. Effective and resilient health systems also need to leverage digitalisation and give timely access to affordable and innovative pharmaceuticals and other medical countermeasures.
 Countries are generally more resilient to health threats when the overall population is in good health. This means stepping up efforts to achieve the health-related UN Sustainable Development Goals.
- A multi-sectoral, evidence-based 'One Health' approach is essential to mitigate the main risks from interactions between humans, animals and the environment, including the threat of antimicrobial resistance.

Universal health coverage is a critical objective in this regard.

• Health issues have links with and are affected by many other policy areas. This means health has to be part of those policies (e.g. trade, global supply chain resilience, strategic autonomy) that are essential in helping achieve health policy objectives. The EU should map all single market and external tools that could be activated to help meet its global health goals and set out the conditions for their use.

For a definition of health systems, see <u>WHO/Europe | Health systems</u>.

For Sustainable Development Goals see <u>Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 3) | United Nations</u>

Western Europe (unric.org).

2. What priority should be given to the areas described above?

	Low	Medium	High
Improve pandemic prevention, preparedness and response	0	0	0
Strengthen health systems	0	0	•
Accelerate progress in achieving the health-related UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular universal health coverage	0	0	•
Apply the 'One Health' approach comprehensively	0	•	0
Ensure global health is part of all policies, including mapping and activating policies and tools that can contribute to health goals	0	•	0

3. Name five policies that have the most important impact on health goals in your view, and explain why.

1000 character(s) maximum

From a prevention and health outcomes perspective, the most important policy for health is the adoption of a genuine health-in-all policies approach. Within it, there are several policy areas that are crucial for health goals. These include:

- 1. Health system strengthening and development: increase the number and quality of health facilities and workforce in and outside the EU though aid
- 2. Pharmaceuticals: research, development, authorisation and access to the right medicine at the right time
- 3. Environmental protection and climate change actions: especially those policies addressing air pollution, biodiversity loss and adverse climate events affecting health i.e. heatwaves, wildfires, floods
- 4. Trade agreements: requiring healthy working conditions for employees outside EU, granting health and labour protection
- 5. Tobacco and smoking: production and commercialisation of tobacco and smoking products as well as actions to minimise exposure to smoke

4. What other priorities are more important than those described above? Why? Which of the issues above should be de-prioritised as a result?

1000 character(s) maximum

Tackling the climate emergency, as it affects health and life in a variety of ways and is predicted to worsen, and the adverse health effects of globalisation.

5. What are the main obstacles to achieving these priorities? What measures need to be taken to overcome these obstacles?

1000 character(s) maximum

Political courage to put health before business and the resources to shift healthcare systems from care to prevention.

Part 3. Ensuring robust governance

EU leadership has played a major role in fighting the COVID-19 pandemic in the EU and beyond, especially through the Team Europe approach. However, the EU's global impact can be boosted further, not least given its substantial financial contributions to global health. EU leadership should be strengthened in three ways, together with more effective communication.

The first way, which could have a big impact, is to lead by example and apply an effective and comprehensive health policy within our own borders. Showing we meet our own responsibilities is essential to be credible in our external action—where we ask partners to make significant efforts. There are areas where we could improve and boost its credibility. Examples include: (i) better measures against antimicrobial resistance; (ii) stepping up efforts to ensure universal access to safe, accessible, affordable and innovative pharmaceuticals and technologies to tackle infections and non-communicable diseases (including neglected diseases); (iii) an improved pandemic prevention, preparedness and response framework; and (iv) increasing digitalisation.

6. The importance of leading by example in each of these areas is...?

	Low	Medium	High
Universal access to health care	0	0	•
Pandemic prevention, preparedness and response	0	0	0
Vaccination levels	0	0	•
Anti-microbial resistance	0	0	•
Safe, affordable, accessible and innovative pharmaceuticals	0	0	•
Digitalisation	0	0	0
Following robust bioethics principles	0	0	•

7. In what other areas should the EU lead by example? Why? Give a maximum of three areas.

500 character(s) maximum

- 1. Stakeholder engagement for health, for example through patient involvement in health policies and delivery
- 2. Adaptation to climate change addressing efforts to protect the most vulnerable in society
- 3. Tobacco control

The second way to strengthen EU leadership is to improve coordination so as to speak with one voice—together with Member States, the European Parliament and stakeholders – at global, national and regional level.

8. How could this coordination be strengthened?

1000 character(s) maximum

Through a global health strategy, action plan and framework that is co-developed with all the parties listed above through a health-in-all-policies approach and ensuring policy coherence.

The third way to strengthen EU leadership is by ensuring the appropriate and full participation of the EU in international forums and initiatives, including in decision-making. This builds on the EU's commitment to multilateralism.

- 9. Do you agree or disagree that the EU needs to fully participate in international forums and initiatives?
 - Disagree
 - Neutral
 - Agree

10. How should the EU participate? In what forums and initiatives?

1000 character(s) maximum

Make the most of the health structures that existing within OECD and the Council of Europe as closer to the EU, and multilaterally, with all the health-related UN agencies and bodies such as N/AWHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, UNDP and public-private initiatives such as GAVI.

Global health requires a strong multilateral approach. This approach must be further strengthened by making the WHO and global health initiatives more efficient, with effective governance and adequate financing, and ensuring full capacity for implementation. This includes a binding pandemic instrument and strengthened international health laws. An improved multilateral approach should ensure transparency, accountability, effectiveness—as well as enforceability of rules.

11. How can the EU support multilateralism with the WHO at its centre? (1000 characters maximum)

1000 character(s) maximum

Support comes through a variety of ways: firstly, through an increased contribution to WHO and the rest of UN agencies and bodies focused on health (see question 10) to enhance their means and capacity to monitor and response. Secondly, by proposing and negotiating global health issues within the multilateral democratic structures such as WHA and ECOSOC. Thirdly, expanding technical and agency cooperation beyond the richest countries such as FDA, on medicines authorisation and HTA for example.

To further support multilateral work, the new strategy should strengthen bilateral cooperation (with non-EU countries) and plurilateral cooperation (with groups of countries, including the G7, G20 and OECD, and develop strategic health partnerships with other regions in the world). This cooperation should be based on mutual responsibility and effectiveness. Cooperation with the US and the EU-African Union Summit of February 2022 have both laid the foundation for improved partnerships in health.

partners?
1000 character(s) maximum
Global philanthropic organisations take an increasing place in the dialogue on global health discussions, providing more funding than many countries do. A wide range of private and public players and global health initiatives have also emerged. The new strategy should ensure that EU and global efforts complement each other and avoid fragmentation and duplication.
13. How can we ensure efficiency and effectiveness when working with
philanthropic organisations and other private and public players and initiatives? 1000 character(s) maximum
Part 4. Financing our strategy effectively and efficiently
Considerable financing is clearly necessary to address health challenges and problems – an issue unto itself given the resource context in the EU and abroad. The EU should improve coordinating and monitoring its spending to ensure transparency, consistency, proportionality and effectiveness in delivering its health policy goals.
14. Do you agree or disagree that there should be a more comprehensive mapping of all actors and finance strands in the EU and its Member States to monitor financial efforts and results?
Disagree
Neutral
• Agree
 15. Do you agree or disagree that there should be a mapping of all global health players and investments, their goals, results and other details, such as thematic or geographic goals? Disagree Neutral Agree
16. Please let us know what other suggestions you have to improve transparency.

consistency, proportionality and effectiveness in delivering our health policy goals.

1000 character(s) maximum

12

Part 5. Other comments and ideas

17. Please share any other comments or ideas

2000 character(s) maximum

Contact

Francisco.Perez-Canado@ec.europa.eu